

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,416	11/06/2003	Daniel C. Edelstein	FIS920030260 US1	8350	
29505	7590 02/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC 121 WHITNEY AVENUE			ABOAGYE, MICHAEL		
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1725	-	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,416	EDELSTEIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Michael Aboagye	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ate, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-20 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is	/are: a)  accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	***		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		- · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
·	un nejarih: undar 25 11 C.C	S 110(a) (d) ar (f)	
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	in priority under 35 0.5.C	. 9 119(a)-(u) of (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) 🔲 Notice o	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/06/2003</u> .	6)	·	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-5) in the reply filed on January 19, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no additional burden on the examiner to examine all groups of claims together, such that the species are so closely related that the field of search necessary to properly search any one of the species would encompass the other species as well. Therefore, since a different search is not required; there is no serious burden as required by MPEP 803.

This is not found persuasive because, contrary to the applicant's belief, the differences between the disclosed species are such that each species would require a different search. For example, the search for species II – an apparatus with a metallic barrier cap over a metallic interconnect with a diffusion barrier, without a coating will not cover the species III, which includes a metallic wire with a coating. Furthermore, the search for the species I would not uncover the limitations of the species II and III, all of which includes a diffusion barrier.

To further clarify his position, the examiner notes that the election of species is proper because the species disclosed in the instant application are independent inventions as defined in MPEP 806.04 ("If it can be shown that the two or more inventions are in fact independent; applicant should be required to restrict the claims presented to but one of such independent inventions"). Further, regarding election of species, MPEP 808.01(a) sets forth that when "claims are directed to independent

Art Unit: 1725

inventions, restriction is proper pursuant to 35 USC 121, and it is not necessary to show a separate status in the art or separate classification.".

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### **Drawings**

2. The application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

At the end of line 1, replace "low temperature" with "low melting temperature".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noddin et al. (US patent No. 5276955).

Noddin et al. discloses an apparatus for low-pressure wire bonding of electronic circuit board and a substrate said apparatus comprising: a copper interconnect within said

Art Unit: 1725

substrate; and an alloy material between a copper interconnect and a gold wire connected to said electronic circuit (see column 3, lines 19 - 49); said alloy material including gold, which is the material for the wire and an alloying metal; wherein said alloy material comprises a low temperature material including Au-Sn or Au-In (see column 5, lines 23 - 43); wherein a concentration of said Sn of said alloy material is used to vary said alloy material's melting point to be greater than that of said alloying metal( see column 10, lines 51 - 64; column 11, lines 1- 31, and column 12, lines 5 - 41).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1725

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang (US Patent No. 6096649) in view of Miller et al. (US Patent No. 4518112).

Jang teaches a structure for low-pressure wire bonding of a semiconductor chip to a substrate "1", said structure comprising: a copper interconnect within said substrate; and an alloy material between said interconnect and a metallic wire "10" connected to said semiconductor chip; wherein said metallic wire is comprised of gold (see, abstract, figure 5 and 6; and column, 1 line 13 - column 4, line 40).

Jang teaches the elements of claim 1 but does not expressly teach that the alloy material includes a composition of the said metallic wire material, and that alloy material comprises a low temperature material including Au-sn or Au-ln; wherein a concentration of said Sn of said alloy material is used to vary said alloy material's melting point to be greater than that of said alloying metal.

However Miller et al. discloses a braze joining of an electronic package elements comprising: a low temperature alloy material including Au-sn or Au-ln; wherein a concentration of said Sn of said alloy material is used to vary said alloy material's melting point to be greater than that of said alloying metal; wherein the bond formed in the electronic package by this alloy material is significantly strong to withstand higher heating without melting and also repeated rework operations (see miller et al. column 1, line 15 – column 2 line 45 and column 3, line 61- column 4, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to have alloy material including Au-Sn or Au-In between the copper interconnect and the gold wire in the semiconductor package of Jang in view of the teachings of Miller et al. with the advantage of forming within the electronic package, a significantly strong bond which can withstand higher heating without melting and also repeated rework operations (see miller et al. column 1, line 15 – column 2 line 45 and column 3, line 61- column 4, line 40).

### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gerber et al. (US 5401913), Dubin et al. (US 5695810), Lopatin (US 6144096), Chittipeddi et al. (US 6472304) and Zhou et al. (US 6376353) are also cited in PTO-892.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Aboagye whose telephone number is 571-272-8165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri 8:30am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,416

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Aboagye Assistant Examiner Art unit 1725 Page 7

02/08/2006

AM MA

> KEVIN KERNS Kirin Kens 2/8/06 PRIMARY EXAMINET